

TERRANCE L. JAMES-BEY,

Petitioner,

vs.

ERIK A. HOOKS,

Respondent.

Case 3:13-cv-00386-FDW Document 74 Filed 02/17/21 Page 1 of 2

II. Motion for Reconsideration

On March 9, 2020, this Court dismissed Petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. (Doc. 62). Petitioner now files a "Motion for Reconsideration and Reinstatement." (Doc. 72). In support of his Motion, Petitioner complains about Defendant's harassment and interference with receipt of his prison legal mail. Petitioner states that in light of the circumstances, this Court should reinstate the matter. (Doc. 72, p. 1-3).

To the extent that Petitioner seeks reconsideration of the dismissal of his § 2254 Petition under Rules 59 or 60, he has failed to state any basis for such relief. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 59, 60; Hill v. Braxton, 277 F.3d 701, 708 (4th Cir. 2002)(courts may grant Rule 59 motion in narrow circumstances to accommodate a change in law, account for previously unavailable evidence, or correct a legal error); Dowell v. State Farm Fire Cas. Auto. Ins. Co., 993 F.2d 46, 48 (4th Cir. 1993)(relief from judgment under Rule 60(b)(6) should be granted only upon a showing that it is "appropriate to accomplish justice" in "situations involving extraordinary circumstances.").


Petitioner has not shown the existence of any circumstances to support any Rule 59 or Rule 60 motion and has failed to set forth any sufficient basis to justify granting reconsideration or reinstatement of this matter. As such, Petitioner's motion for reconsideration is denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner's Motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. 71) is **DENIED**.
2. Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. 72) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: February 16, 2021


Frank D. Whitney
United States District Judge